JUL 15 2013

David Jordan Schirman

Frisco, TX 75034

RE: MUR 6541

Dear Mr. Schirman:

On March 27, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint filed against you, alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On July 9, 2013, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information obtained by the Commission, including your response, the Commission dismissed this matter and closed its file.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

If you have any questions, please contact Ruth Heilizer, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Anthony Herman General Counsel

BY: Jo

Jeff S. Jordan / Bul Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney

Complaints Examination and

Legal Administration

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis for Mr. Schirman

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENT:

David Jordan Schirman

MUR: 6541

.23

I. GENERATION OF MATTER

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by Grant Stinchfield (the 'Complainant"), a candidate for Congress in Texas' 24th Congressional District. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

II. FACTS AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Complainant alleges that two contributions were made in the names of others in violation of the Act. Specifically, Mr. Stinchfield states that Stinchfield for Congress ("Stinchfield Committee") held a golf tournament fundraiser on February 27, 2012, for which it received two \$40 online contributions from two gentlemen who, on the day of the tournament, filled out volunteer forms. Compl. at 1. The two names submitted with the contributions and volunteer forms were "Jordan Sherman" and "Carter Kendall." Id. Thank-you notes sent after the tournament to these two individuals by the Stinchfield Committee were returned as having incorrect addresses. Id.; Compl. Ex. at 4. Using publicly available Information and the Facebook social media site, the Stinchfield Committee determined that "Jordan Sherman" was actually David Jordan Schirman. Compl. at 1. The Stinchfield Committee was unable to determine the true identity of "Carter Kendall." Id.

The Complaint states that the Stinchfield Committee contacted Schirman who "confirmed he made illegal contributions under false names and a false person." *Id.* The Complaint also states that the "credit card records confirmed his report of making a credit card contribution in another name other than his own." *Id.* Schirman also volunteered that his "best friend'... is

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 employed by Mr. Marchant," who was also a candidate in the Texas 24th Congressional District.
- 2 Id. Thus, the Stinchfield Committee alleges that Schirman and Kenny Marchant for Congress
- 3 ("Marchant Committee") knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f "to gain access to
- 4 what was a fundraiser for supporters of my campaign but in lieu tried to spy on my campaign and
- 5 obtain information about my supporters." Compl. at 2.

between himself and any employee of the Marchant Committee.1

- In his emailed response, Schirman acknowledges that he made a \$40 payment for a round of golf, lunch, stating "[he] payed the 40 dollars under the understanding that it was going to cover [his] round of golf at a private course, lunch, and a beverage" but that "it was never [his] intent to provide a donation too [sic], nor support the Stinchfield for Congress campaign." His response makes no mention of using any fictitious names nor does it mention a relationship
- Under the Act, "no person shall make a contribution in the name of another person..."

 2 U.S.C. § 441f. The Act requires that contributions be made in one's own name, rather than the name of another, in order to promote full disclosure of the actual source of political contributions. United States v. O'Donnell, 608 F.3d 546, 553-54 (9th Cir. 2010). A fictitious or "false name contribution is a direct contribution from A to a campaign, where A represents that the contribution is from another person who may be real or fictional." O'Donnell, 608 F.3d at 549 (emphasis in original); see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(i), (b)(2)(ii).
- Based on the facts presented, the responses, and publicly available information, it appears that Schirman made at least one \$40 payment under a fictitious name.

The Commission notes that the Schirman response was received from the same email listed on the online contribution receipt for "Carter Kendall" and listed on the handwritten volunteer form for "Jordan Sherman" on the day of the golf tournament fundraiser.

- 1 However, due to the extremely small amount involved and the fact that no further
- 2 contribution activity appears to be associated with the fictitious names or Schirman, the
- 3 Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses this matter pursuant to Heckler
- 4 v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).